



# Manistee County Board of Commissioners

Manistee County Courthouse • 415 Third Street • Manistee, Michigan 49660

CHAIRPERSON  
Allan O'Shea  
VICE-CHAIRPERSON  
Edward Haik

Ken Hilliard  
Ervin Kowalski  
Jim Krolezyk  
Glenn Lottie  
Carl Rutske

CLERK

Marilyn Kliber  
(231) 723-3331

CONTROLLER/ADMINISTRATOR

Thomas Kaminski  
(231) 398-3500

## WAYS & MEANS COMMITTEE

Tuesday, April 21, 2009  
8:30 A.M.

Manistee County Courthouse & Government Center  
Board of Commissioners Meeting Room

### AGENDA

- 1) 8:30 A.M. - Finance Report - Jeri Lyn Prielipp, Financial Assistant
- 2) 8:45 A.M. - Jim Smogoleski, Youth Services Director, and David Thompson, Friend of the Court, will appear before the Committee to discuss the following topics:
  - A) State of Michigan Children's Lawsuit. (APPENDIX A)
  - B) Request approval to submit the FY 2009 Juvenile Drug Court Grant Application to the State of Michigan.
- 3) Review and consideration of approving Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) Grant Contract #2009-0220 for Manistee Blacker Airport for the design of the installation of medium intensity taxiway lights as further defined in Contract #FM51-01-C53. The total project cost is \$19,000, with Federal share = \$18,050 (95%), State share = \$475 (2.5%), and local match = \$475 (2.5%). The grant application was recommended for approval at the April 2009 Airport Authority Board meeting. Local funds will be provided from the Airport Authority General Fund. This project was highly recommended by the Federal Aviation Administration during their 2008 annual inspection of the airport. (APPENDIX B)
- 4) Review and consideration of adopting a resolution being recommended by the District Health Department #10 Board of Health regarding funding for local public health programs. The resolution, which was also adopted by the District Health Department #10 Board of Health, calls for a \$5 million increase in local public health operations, restoration of cuts to the Healthy Michigan Fund proposed by the Governor, and no further reductions to County Revenue Sharing. (APPENDIX C)
- 5) Review and consideration of an agreement between the County Prosecuting Attorney, Manistee County, and the City of Manistee. The proposed agreement would continue to retain the services of the Prosecuting Attorney's Office to provide legal representation to the City of Manistee on all violations of certain City ordinances and codes and State statutes, which are by definition misdemeanors and felonies. The City is proposing a four year agreement, which runs through December 31, 2012. The contract calls for a 0% increase in 2009, and a 2% increase annually for the remaining three years of the contract. The total payments are split with 54% being paid directly to Ford Stone, County Prosecutor, and 46% being paid to Manistee County. The City of Manistee has approved this agreement and Mr. Stone has informed me that he is willing to accept the terms of the contract. (APPENDIX D)

- 6) 9:15 A.M. - Susan Wagner, County Planner, will appear before the Committee to discuss an email she received from Matt Schutte, GIS Analyst/Training Coordinator of Michigan State University's Remote Sensing and GIS Research and Outreach Services. This email requests that the County waive the fee for digital parcel data being requested along the Lake Michigan shoreline. The data will be used for a coastal management program, which is grant funded by the Michigan DEQ, which helps the DEQ manage the shorelines, and in particular the critical dune areas. There is no money in the grant program for purchasing data. (APPENDIX E)
- 7) Review and discussion regarding a price received from Manistee Ford for the purchase of a 2009 Ford Escape Hybrid 4-wheel drive vehicle. This purchase was previously approved by the County Board of Commissioners at the March Board meeting, however, no price was quoted at that time. Listed below are the prices for this vehicle and others previously quoted by Manistee Ford. (APPENDIX F)
  - A) 2009 Ford Escape Hybrid XLT 4-wheel drive vehicle = \$30,446  
Mileage rating = 29 city/27 highway
  - B) 2010 Ford Escape Hybrid front-wheel drive vehicle = (pricing not yet available)  
Mileage rating = 34 city/30 highway
  - C) 2009 Ford Escape XLS 4-wheel drive vehicle = \$18,098  
Mileage rating = 19 city/25 highway
  - D) 2009 Ford Escape XLS front-wheel drive vehicle = \$16,549  
Mileage rating = 20 city/28 highway
  - E) There were also other vehicles quoted by Benchley Buick Pontiac GMC and Yates Chevrolet, which could be considered for a second vehicle. Prices ranged from \$17,759 to \$23,319.
- 8) 9:30 A.M. - Stanley Preidis, Chairman of the United Veterans Council of Manistee County, will appear before the Committee to make a final recommendation on the appointment of a Veterans Counselor for Manistee County. In February 2009, the Board of Commissioners advertised for the position of Veterans Counselor and requested that the United Veterans Council of Manistee County review resumes and conduct interviews for this open position. This process has now been completed.
- 9) 10:00 A.M. - Peggy Falk, Equalization Director, will appear before the Committee to present the 2009 Apportionment Report.
- 10) Miscellaneous Information/Discussion Items.
  - A) Allan O'Shea, Board Chairman, will appear before the Committee for discussion regarding economic development related issues.
  - B) Review of a proposal from Keith Brown to tape and edit County Board of Commissioners monthly meetings for broadcast on the public access television station in Manistee County. (APPENDIX G)
  - C) Discussion regarding the potential hiring of a summer intern.

- D) Update on GIS partnership proposal.
- E) Stimulus bill police officer application process.
- 11) Other Items from Committee Members.
- 12) Adjournment.

[m h:\agendas\W & M 042109]



# Michigan Counties

OFFICIAL VOICE OF THE MICHIGAN ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES

Vol. 43 No. 4

*Legislative Update*

April 2009

**Michigan  
Association  
of Counties**



Published by Michigan  
County Commissioners  
Educational Research  
(USPS 344-880/ISSN 0896-646X)

*Michigan Association of  
Counties web site address:  
[www.micounties.org](http://www.micounties.org)*

## ***Inside this Edition***

Letter from the President .....	2
County Revenue Sharing .....	3
MAC in Action .....	4
Grant Services .....	5
NACo News .....	6
Calendar of Events .....	7
COBRA Provisions in Stimulus ..	8
Graham Institute Lecture .....	10
Membership Information .....	15
Budget & Finance Training .....	16

## **DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES LAWSUIT SETTLEMENT COULD COST COUNTIES**

*By Ben Bodkin, MAC Legislative Coordinator*

*(APPENDIX A-1)*

Last year the state Department of Human Services (DHS) was sued by an out-of-state child welfare group called Children's Rights. The group has sued about a half dozen states successfully in the past and the department wisely settled the suit out-of-court, which probably saved the state half the cost of the suit. As it is, the department will spend about \$250 million implementing the changes required in the settlement agreement. The problem, however, is that when DHS settled the suit with Children's Rights they never brought counties to the table to discuss the terms of the agreement. As half of the funding source for foster care and juvenile justice in the state, counties certainly should have been consulted before the agreement was reached. It seems the department agreed on your behalf to commit counties' checkbooks to up to \$32 million in new spending that can be calculated and more that can not be calculated.

### **Relative Placement**

The calculable portion of the lawsuit for counties comes from the subject of relative placement. It has been DHS practice to place children who have been removed from the home with relatives, if at all possible. These so-called "relative placements" are generally

good for the children, because they provide a stable person who the child knows in the child's life. The problem has been that these placements are traditionally not licensed as foster care homes, because of the emergent need of the placement. The department has not followed its policy of licensing these placements, and so the Children's Rights made this issue a part of the suit.

There are about 7,000 unlicensed relative placements in Michigan. Because they are unlicensed, they currently are paid entirely through cash assistance, known as the Family Independence Program, or FIP. No county funds are expended on unlicensed relative placements. As a result of the settlement agreement, the department must make every effort to license these placements, which will require counties to pay for half the cost of the placement. As of last fall, DHS expected to be required to move 5,993 of the 7,000 cases to licensed placement. Of those, 43% should be eligible for federal Title IV-E funding, which would replace the county half of the funding requirement. This leaves roughly 3,237 cases that will be new to foster care and require 50% funding from counties. The foster parents qualify for either \$17.59 or \$14.24 per day, depending on the age of the child. If the case

*(continued on pg. 14)*

is managed by a private agency, that agency qualifies for \$27.00 per day to manage the case. The Governor recommended increasing the daily rate for private case management by \$10.00 per day to \$37.00 per day, because of the likely increase in caseworkers needed by the private sector as a result of the lawsuit. The most this portion of the suit could cost counties (if all of the placements were private, the daily rate were increased to \$37 per day, and the children all qualified for \$17.59 per day) is more than \$32 million annually. If the private agency daily rate remains at \$27.00 per day, the cost of relative placement tops out at \$26.3 million annually.

### Other Costs of the Lawsuit

There are other areas in the lawsuit that will cost counties money, but the amounts are unable to be calculated. Under the settlement agreement, both the department and private agencies must reduce their caseload ratios for foster care case management to 15 cases per worker. This new 15:1 ratio will cost counties because the department will be forced to send more cases to private agency case management to meet the requirements of the new ratio. This shift in caseload from the department to private agencies will cost counties by adding the \$27.00 daily rate to every case that moves from public to private case management. Under the Governor's proposal, of course, that daily rate would go up to \$37.00 per case per day for all privately managed cases. No one has yet been able to calculate how many cases will shift as a result of the new 15:1 case management ratio.

### Solutions

MAC has proposed two solutions to the problem, and has identified the money necessary to hold counties harmless under the settlement agreement. We need county commissioners to discuss these solutions with their legislators, because it will be difficult under the current economic circumstances to get new money, even if you identify it.

You may recall a few years ago the federal Congress passed the Deficit Reduction Act. Under that act, states were forbidden from using federal child support incentive grants to match regular federal child support appropriations. As a result, the Governor backfilled the federally created hole in child support enforcement matching funds with state General Funds (GF), to the tune of \$16.7 million annually. Fast forward to today. As a result of the federal stimulus package, and two year moratorium has been placed on the federal rule that banned matching the child support funds with child support incentive payments. So, the \$16.7 million in state GF appropriated for the current year (FY09), and recommended by the Governor for FY10 are no

longer needed to keep child support enforcement whole. The Friend of the Court Association (FOCA), a part of the county family of agencies, requires a small piece of the \$33.4 million to remain whole in another part of their budget, leaving about \$30 million up for grabs. MAC recommends using this money to help hold counties harmless under the settlement agreement.

In addition to the funding source above, MAC believes that a full \$5 million in state General Funds can be saved from the partial closure and restructuring of the Maxey high secure detention facility. This savings would result in an additional \$2.5 million not previously recognized in the budget, bringing the total available for counties to \$32.5 million. If the private daily rate remains at \$27.00 per day, \$32.5 million may cover all of the costs associated with the lawsuit settlement for counties.

There are two ways to apply this savings to hold counties harmless. The preferred, but more difficult way would be to change the state/county match rate for foster care and juvenile justice from 50% / 50%, to whatever \$32.5 million would get as a state-side match increase, so if it results in a state/county match of 60% / 40%, just as an example, we have a policy change that results in counties being held harmless from the lawsuit costs not only in the coming year, but in the future as well. This solution requires not only us getting the money we identified, but also passing separate legislation to change state statute establishing the state/county match rate. The other solution would be to simply appropriate the money in the budget with boilerplate indicating that counties will be held harmless from the lawsuit settlement. This solution, of course, requires counties to fight each year thereafter to keep the money in the budget, statute would not require it. MAC is pursuing both solutions in order to keep all options on the table.

At the time of writing this article, the Senate had recommended including a line item in the budget for the purpose of holding counties harmless. This line will allow the discussion of how to hold counties harmless to continue to the end of the budget process. Unfortunately, no money was appropriated to the line beyond a simple placeholder amount. We have been told that a decision will be made on what to do with the money MAC identified after the child support enforcement money is released in the current year budget through the budget supplemental process. Meanwhile, we need to begin work trying to get the House to include the money in the budget for counties. Please contact both your Senators and House members and ask them to hold counties harmless from the DHS lawsuit. MAC has identified the money, now we simply need a commitment from the legislature. If the state does not want us at the table for negotiations, it should not be able to use our checkbook to cut the deal.

(A-3)

# Manistee County Board of Commissioners

Manistee County Courthouse • 415 Third Street • Manistee, Michigan 49660

CHAIRPERSON  
Allan O'Shea  
VICE-CHAIRPERSON  
Edward Haik

Ken Hilliard  
Ervin Kowalski  
Jim Kroczyk  
Glenn Lottie  
Carl Rutske

CLERK  
Marilyn Kliber  
(231) 723-3331  
CONTROLLER/ADMINISTRATOR  
Thomas Kaminski  
(231) 398-3500

## RESOLUTION SUPPORTING ADEQUATE STATE FUNDING TO HOLD COUNTIES HARMLESS FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES LAWSUIT SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

At a regular meeting of the Manistee County Board of Commissioners held in the Manistee County Courthouse & Government Center, 415 Third Street, Manistee, Michigan, on the 28<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2009.

PRESENT:

ABSENT:

The following resolution was offered by \_\_\_\_\_ and seconded by \_\_\_\_\_:

**WHEREAS**, the State of Michigan Department of Human Services was sued by Children's Rights regarding the care of children in foster care and juvenile justice; and

**WHEREAS**, the Department of Human Services settled the case out-of-court without consent or consultation from Michigan's counties; and

**WHEREAS**, Michigan counties are the funding source for one-half of the costs of foster care and juvenile justice services in the State of Michigan; and

**WHEREAS**, the cost of the settlement agreement between the Department of Human Services and Children's Rights would require Michigan's counties to pay, without their consent, up to \$32,000,000 per year statewide in *calculable costs*, with additional county costs evident that are not able to be calculated; and

**WHEREAS**, Michigan's counties face staggering cuts to services across the board due to declining property tax base, state funding cuts to support mandated services, increasing responsibilities eliminated from the state government being forced to counties, and increasing state regulation;

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Manistee County Board of Commissioners urges the legislature and the Governor to hold counties harmless from the increased County Child Care Fund expenses associated with the agreement reached between the Department of Human Services and Children's Rights; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that this resolution be distributed to the Governor, Senator Michelle McManus, Representative Dan Scripps, and the Michigan Association of Counties for their consideration and action.

(A-4)

STATE OF MICHIGAN       )  
                                  )ss.  
COUNTY OF MANISTEE     )

I, Marilyn Kliber, County Clerk, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of a Resolution adopted by the Manistee County Board of Commissioners at its regular monthly meeting held on the 28<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2009, by the following vote:

YEAS:

NAYS:

NOT VOTING:

I further certify that the foregoing Resolution is a true, correct and complete transcript of the original of said Resolution appearing on file and of record in my office. I further certify that the meeting was held and the minutes therefore were filed in compliance with Act No. 267 of the Public Acts of 1976.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the Seal of the County of Manistee this 28<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2009.

CLERK OF THE COUNTY COMMISSION  
MANISTEE COUNTY, MICHIGAN

\_\_\_\_\_  
Marilyn Kliber, County Clerk

(09\_08) [m h:\resolutions\09\_08 DHS Lawsuit]

(APPENDIX B-1)

CONTRACT NO. 2009-0220  
FEDERAL PROJECT NO. D-26-0059-2108  
AGENDA: DAB

**MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

**MANISTEE COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**

**CONTRACT FOR A FEDERAL/STATE/LOCAL**

**AIRPORT PROJECT**

**UNDER THE BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM**

This Contract is made and entered into this date of \_\_\_\_\_ by and between the Michigan Department of Transportation, hereinafter referred to as the "DEPARTMENT," and Manistee County Board of Commissioners, hereinafter referred to as the "SPONSOR," for the purpose of fixing the rights and obligations of the parties in agreeing to the following undertaking at the Manistee County-Blacker Airport, whose associated city is Manistee, Michigan, such undertaking hereinafter referred to as the "PROJECT," estimated in detail in Exhibit 1, dated March 6, 2009, attached hereto and made a part hereof.

**PROJECT DESCRIPTION: DESIGN OF THE INSTALLATION OF MEDIUM INTENSITY TAXIWAY LIGHTS (MITL), AS FURTHER DEFINED IN CONTRACT NO. FM 51-01-C53.**

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, the PROJECT is eligible for federal funding pursuant to the Airport and Airway Improvement Act of 1982, as amended, and/or the Aviation Safety and Noise Abatement Act of 1979; and

WHEREAS, the DEPARTMENT has received a block grant from the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) for airport development projects; and

WHEREAS, the DEPARTMENT is responsible for the allocation and management of block grant funds pursuant to the above noted act;

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties agree:



**EXHIBIT 1****(B-2)****MANISTEE COUNTY-BLACKER AIRPORT  
MANISTEE, MICHIGAN**Project No. D-26-0059-2108  
Contract No. FM 51-01-C53

March 6, 2009

	Federal	State	Local	Total
ADMINISTRATION	\$632	\$16	\$17	\$665
DEPARTMENT-AERO	\$632	\$16	\$17	\$665
LAND	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
DESIGN	\$17,418	\$459	\$458	\$18,335
Install MITL for parallel taxiway to runway 9/27				
AERO - Design	\$1,900	\$50	\$50	\$2,000
CONSULTANT - Design	\$15,518	\$409	\$408	\$16,335
CONSTRUCTION	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
CONTINGENCIES	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>TOTAL PROJECT BUDGET</b>	<b>\$18,050</b>	<b>\$475</b>	<b>\$475</b>	<b>\$19,000</b>

MAC Transfer: 3/26/09



# Manistee County Board of Commissioners

Manistee County Courthouse • 415 Third Street • Manistee, Michigan 49660

APPENDIX C-1

CHAIRPERSON  
Allan O'Shea

VICE-CHAIRPERSON  
Edward Haik

Ken Hilliard  
Ervin Kowalski  
Jim Krolezyk  
Glenn Lottie  
Carl Rutske

CLERK

Marilyn Kliber  
(231) 723-3331

CONTROLLER/ADMINISTRATOR

Thomas Kaminski  
(231) 398-3500

## RESOLUTION REGARDING PUBLIC HEALTH FUNDING CRISIS

At a regular meeting of the Manistee County Board of Commissioners held in the Manistee County Courthouse & Government Center, 415 Third Street, Manistee, Michigan, on the 28<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2009.

PRESENT:

ABSENT:

The following resolution was offered by \_\_\_\_\_ and seconded by \_\_\_\_\_:

**WHEREAS**, the District Health Department #10 is responsible for providing preventive and mandated public health services, including but not limited to, communicable disease control, food service sanitation, sexually transmitted disease control, immunizations, hearing and vision screenings, drinking water supply safety and onsite sewage management; and

**WHEREAS**, the agreement between local health departments and the State of Michigan, per PA 368 of 1978 The Public Health Code MCL 333.2475, to bear half (50%) of the cost of providing these services has not been maintained by the State; and

**WHEREAS**, the burden of fulfilling these requirements to provide the most basic services is becoming increasingly more challenging for local public health departments to meet; and

**WHEREAS**, lack of increases in State funding has increased the burden on local government to fund programs the State mandates. Today, health departments and local government fund 70% of the cost of State mandated services; and

**WHEREAS**, the demand for services such as Family Planning, Immunizations, and WIC (Women, Infants and Children) have increased exponentially in the past year due to the downturn in Michigan's economy; and

**WHEREAS**, proposed cuts in Family Planning services will result in 4,000 less women being served in the State. Teen pregnancy increased in the State in 2006 for the first time since the early 1990's and about 50% of those births were covered by Medicaid - the State should be increasing this preventive care line item rather than reducing it;

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Manistee County Board of Commissioners hereby requests the Michigan Legislature to add \$5 million for Local Public Health Operations (LPHO) in the Department of Community Health budget for fiscal year 2009/10; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the Manistee County Board of Commissioners requests the Michigan State Legislature to restore the following (Healthy Michigan Fund and Department of Community Health) cuts proposed in the Governor's budget recommendation: reductions in Sexually Transmitted Disease funding; reductions in Family Planning funding; elimination of methamphetamine clean-up funding; reductions to chronic disease prevention; elimination of funding for poison control centers; and elimination of funding for the Nurse Family Partnership; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the Manistee County Board of Commissioners implores the Michigan Legislature to not make any further reductions to State revenue sharing payments to counties; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that copies of this resolution be submitted to Governor Jennifer Granholm, State Senator Michelle McManus, State Representative Dan Scripps, the Michigan Association of Counties, and MALPH.

STATE OF MICHIGAN            )  
  )ss.  
COUNTY OF MANISTEE        )

I, Marilyn Kliber, County Clerk, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of a Resolution adopted by the Manistee County Board of Commissioners at its regular monthly meeting held on the 28<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2009, by the following vote:

YEAS:

NAYS:

NOT VOTING:

I further certify that the foregoing Resolution is a true, correct and complete transcript of the original of said Resolution appearing on file and of record in my office. I further certify that the meeting was held and the minutes therefore were filed in compliance with Act No. 267 of the Public Acts of 1976.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the Seal of the County of Manistee this 28<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2009.

CLERK OF THE COUNTY COMMISSION  
MANISTEE COUNTY, MICHIGAN

\_\_\_\_\_  
Marilyn Kliber, County Clerk

## PROSECUTING ATTORNEY SERVICE AGREEMENT

(APPENDIX D-1)

**THE AGREEMENT** entered into this \_\_\_\_\_ day of March, 2009 between the **CITY OF MANISTEE** (hereinafter "City"), a Michigan Municipal Corporation of 70 Maple Street, Manistee, Michigan, the **COUNTY OF MANISTEE** (hereinafter "County") of 415 Third Street, Manistee, Michigan and the **MANISTEE COUNTY PROSECUTING ATTORNEY** (hereinafter "PA");

**WHEREAS**, the City desires to retain the services of the County and PA to prosecute violations of certain City Ordinances and Codes and State Statutes, which are by definition misdemeanors and felonies, including those acts identified in the City's Code of Ordinances, Chapters 410 (Uniform Traffic Code), 658 (Offenses Related to Property), 662 (Peace Disturbances) 670 (River, Lake and Harbor Regulations), 690 (Weapons and Explosives) and Chapter 693 (Drug Paraphernalia); and

**WHEREAS**, the County and PA desire to agree to provide prosecutor services as to such misdemeanor and felony matters occurring within the City's jurisdictional boundaries;

**NOW, THEREFORE**, the City, County and PA, for good and valuable consideration, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, do agree and contract as follows:

1. **Prosecutorial Services:**

The County and PA agree to prosecute those occurrences that occur within the City that constitute misdemeanors or felonies under the City's Code of Ordinances or State Statute, and to provide all services which are necessary to promptly and efficiently prosecute such violations which, in the sole discretion of the PA, merit the authorization of a formal complaint and warrant. Such cases shall be prosecuted in the name of the City of Manistee.

2. **Prosecutorial Services Not Included:**

The City agrees that this agreement does not include the prosecution of any act(s) that is only a civil infraction under the City's Code of Ordinances, the prosecution of which matters shall remain the responsibility of the City and its City Attorney.

3. **Term & Effective Date of Agreement:**

This Agreement shall cover the period(s) from January 1, 2009 through December 31, 2012. The Agreement may be renewed for additional 4-year periods upon written agreement of the Parties. The Parties may terminate this Agreement by providing written notice to the other Party(ies) by November 15<sup>th</sup> of each calendar year. Such termination shall become effective at the close of business on December 31<sup>st</sup> of the calendar year in which the notice is given. If no notice of termination is timely given, it is expressly understood that the agreement shall continue for an additional year at the last effective annual rate of compensation.

4. **Payments for Services:**

The City shall pay for the services to be rendered by the County and the PA as follows:

<u>Year</u>	<u>Total Payment</u>	<u>Payment to County</u>	<u>Payment to Prosecutor</u>
1/1/09thru 12/31/09	\$11,000	\$5,060	\$6,160
1/1/10 thru 12/30/10	\$11,220	\$5,161	\$6,059
1/1/11 thru 12/30/11	\$11,444	\$5,264	\$6,180

1/1/12 thru 12/30/12

\$11,672

\$5,369

\$6,303

(D-2)

The remaining three years of the contract will be raised by 2.0% annually. Total payments shall be split 54% to County Prosecutor and 46% to Manistee County.

Annual payments shall be due on the 15<sup>th</sup> day of January of the respective years. The payments designated above are for the County and PA. The allocation of such payment between the County and the PA shall be subject to change at the sole responsibility and prerogative of the County and PA. The City shall be notified of such changes prior to the payment date.

5. **Interpretation:**

This Agreement shall be interpreted in accordance with the laws of the State of Michigan.

6. **Entire Agreement:**

The Parties acknowledge and agree that this is the entire agreement between them regarding the subject matter hereof, and there are no other agreements, express or implied, written or oral, that have not been specifically incorporated herein.

7. **Binding Effect:**

This Agreement shall be binding upon the respective Parties, their heirs, successors and assigns.

**City of Manistee**

By: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
Cynthia A. Fuller, Mayor

By: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
Michelle Wright, City Clerk

**County of Manistee**

By: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
Allan O'Shea, Chairperson, Board of Commissioners

**Manistee County Prosecuting Attorney**

By: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
Ford K. Stone, Prosecuting Attorney

## **Sue Wagner**

---

**From:** "Matt Schutte" <schuttem@msu.edu>  
**To:** "'Sue Wagner'" <swagner@manisteecountymi.gov>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 09, 2009 8:14 AM  
**Subject:** RE: Manistee County

(APPENDIX E)

Good Morning Sue,

Thanks for getting back to me. I was looking for the digital parcel data for at least 1500m inland from the Lake Michigan shoreline. This is a grant-funded program for the Michigan DEQ, for a Coastal Management Program that helps the DEQ manage the shorelines, and in particular the critical dune areas. Unfortunately, we don't have any money in the grant funding for purchasing data. We've received parcel data from just about every county that has it readily available, but a few have required me to sign a data sharing agreement in some form or another. I'd certainly be willing to do that for Manistee County as well. The parcel data I'm requesting would only be used for the purposes of this project for the Michigan DEQ. If it looks like there is any way you can waive the standard fee for the parcel data, it would certainly help our efforts to assemble as complete of a dataset as possible. (We'll be using it along with aerial imagery and other mapping data). Thanks again for your efforts.

**Matt Schutte**  
*GIS Analyst / Training Coordinator*  
Remote Sensing & GIS Research and Outreach Services  
Room 216 Geography Building  
Michigan State University  
East Lansing, MI 48824  
517.432.0959  
[www.rsgis.msu.edu](http://www.rsgis.msu.edu)

**From:** Sue Wagner [mailto:swagner@manisteecountymi.gov]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 08, 2009 3:39 PM  
**To:** schuttem@msu.edu  
**Subject:** Manistee County

Matt:

I'm still trying to figure out exactly what you're looking for. If you want digital parcel data of Manistee County, each township costs \$100.00. Since the coastline involves four townships and the City of Manistee, the cost is \$500.00. We don't have a price for just part of a township. Please let me know what other counties provided to you. Thanks!

PLEASE NOTE THAT EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2009 MY EMAIL WILL BE CHANGING TO: swagner@manisteecountymi.gov  
PLEASE UPDATE YOUR ADDRESS BOOK. THANK YOU!

**Sue Wagner**  
Manistee County Planner  
415 Third Street  
Manistee, MI 49660  
231-723-6041; fax: 231-723-1718  
email: swagner@manisteecountymi.gov

4/9/2009

(APPENDIX F-1)

Attn Tom Kaminski

From Rob - Manistee Ford

09 4x4 XLT

v Incoming  
unit.

DEALER 48W 642

	Suggested Retail Price
U593 ESCAPE HYBRID 4WD	31395.00
2009 MODEL YEAR	
NZ KIWI GREEN CLEARCOAT	
2S STONE PREM CLOTH BUCKET	
INCLUDED ON THIS VEHICLE	
.ORDER CODE 700A	
.ELECTRICAL OUTLET / 110V	
.17X4.5 MINI-SPARE STEEL WHEEL	
.SYNC VOICE ACTIVATED SYS	
.AUTOMATIC HEADLAMPS	
.PRIVACY GLASS	
.SAT RADIO/6 MOS SVC(NA AK/HT)	
OPTIONAL EQUIPMENT	
993 .2.5L I4 ATKINSON CYCLE ENGINE	NC
44H .ECVT AUTOMATIC TRANSMISSION	NC
T53 .P235/70R16 A/S BSW TIRES	NC
JOB #2 ORDER	
SYNC WITH 911 ASSIST/VHR	
153 FRONT LICENSE PLATE BRACKET	NC
425 50 STATE EMISSIONS	NC
615 ROOF RACK WITH CROSSBARS	45.00
TOTAL OPTIONS	45.00
TOTAL VEHICLE & OPTIONS	31440.00
DESTINATION & DELIVERY	725.00
<b>TOTAL FOR VEHICLE</b>	<b>32165.00</b>
03 U.S. GAL FUEL CHARGE	
FDAF/LMDA ASSESSMENT	
SHIPPING WEIGHT 3691 LBS.	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>32165.00</b>

\$ 30,431.

15 Title

\$ 30,446.00

29 city / 27 Highway



(F-2)

**VEHICLE PROPOSALS**  
**3/09**

MANISTEE FORD - ROB PEPERA

2009 Ford Escape XLS 4x4

4 wheel drive

Mileage Rating = 19 city, 25 highway

Engine = 2.5L 6 cylinder

Delivery = 4-8 weeks

Warranty = 3 yr./36,000 mile bumper to bumper; 5 yr./60,000 mile power train

Safety Rating = 5 star frontal/5 star side/3 star rollover

Price = \$18,098.00

2009 Ford Escape XLS FWD

Front wheel drive

Mileage Rating = 20 city, 28 highway

Engine = 2.5L 6 cylinder

Delivery = 4-8 weeks

Warranty = 3 yr./36,000 mile bumper to bumper; 5 yr./60,000 mile power train

Safety Rating = 5 star frontal/5 star side/3 star rollover

Price = \$16,549.00

NOTE: Per Rob Pepera 2009 Ford Escape Hybrids are no longer available under municipal pricing and 2010 Ford Escape Hybrid front wheel drive pricing will be available on 4/13/09. Approx. 8-10 weeks delivery. Mileage 34 city, 30 highway. Pricing will probably be in mid 20's.

BENCHLEY BUICK, PONTIAC, GMC - JIM MOWRY

2009 Pontiac Vibe 2SL26 4DR HB FWD W/1SA

Front wheel drive (per Jim Mowry on 3/5/09, now has an all wheel 2009 in stock)

Mileage Rating = 26 city, 31 highway

Engine = 1.8L variable valve timing intelligence 4 cylinder

Delivery = In stock and ready for immediate delivery

Warranty = 3 yr./36,000 mile basic; 5 yr./100,000 mile drive train; 6 yr./100,000 mile corrosion; 5 yr./100,000 mile roadside assistance

Safety Rating = 5 star frontal/5 star side driver/4 star side rear passenger/4 star rollover

Price = \$17,759.00 (approx. \$2,000 more for all wheel drive)

2010 Pontiac Vibe 2SM26 4DR HB AWD

All wheel drive

Mileage Rating = 20 city, 26 highway

Engine = 2.4L variable valve timing intelligence 4 cylinder

Delivery = 8-10 weeks

Warranty = 3 yr./36,000 mile basic; 5 yr./100,000 mile drive train; 6 yr./100,000 mile corrosion; 5 yr./100,000 mile roadside assistance

Safety Rating = 5 star frontal/5 star side driver/4 star side rear passenger/4 star rollover

Price = \$20,342.00



(F-3)

2009 Pontiac G6 2ZG69 4DR SdN W/1SA

Front wheel drive

Mileage Rating = 22 city, 30 highway

Engine = Ecotec 2.4L 4 cylinder

Delivery = In stock and ready for immediate delivery

Warranty = 3 yr./36,000 mile basic; 5 yr./100,000 mile drive train; 6 yr./100,000 mile corrosion; 5 yr./100,000 mile roadside assistance

Safety Rating = 5 star frontal driver/4 star frontal passenger/5 star side driver/5 star side rear passenger/4 star rollover

Price = \$17,820.00

YATES CHEVROLET-CADILLAC, INC. - ROBERT YATES

2009 (?) Chevrolet Malibu Hybrid

Front wheel drive (?)

Mileage Rating = 26 city, 34 highway

Engine = Ecotec 2.4L 4 cylinder

Delivery = 6-9 weeks

Warranty = 5 yr./100,000 mile transferable power train limited warranty, plus roadside assistance and courtesy transportation

Safety Rating = 5 star frontal and side impact

Price = \$24,819.00 less \$1,500 rebate through March 2, 2009 = \$23,319.00

2009 (?) Chevrolet Impala LS

Front wheel drive (?)

Mileage Rating = 19 city, 29 highway (also E85 capable 14 city, 22 highway)

Engine = 3.5L 6 cylinder

Delivery = 4-6 weeks

Warranty = 5 yr./100,000 mile transferable power train limited warranty, plus roadside assistance and courtesy transportation

Safety Rating = 5 star frontal and impact

Price = \$22,481.55 less \$3,250 rebate through March 2, 2009 = \$19,231.55

\* Allows for several different cargo configurations

2009 (?) Chevrolet Malibu 1LS

Front wheel drive (?)

Mileage Rating = 22 city, 33 highway

Engine = ?

Delivery = 4-6 weeks

Warranty = ?

Safety Rating = ?

Price = \$21,086.73 less \$2,750 rebate through March 2, 2009 = \$18,336.73

(APPENDIX G)

**Thomas D. Kaminski**

**From:** "Allan O'Shea" <windowman50@hotmail.com>  
**To:** "Tom Kaminski" <tdkaminski@manisteecountymi.gov>; "Rachel Nelson" <ranelson@manisteecountymi.gov>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 18, 2009 6:51 PM  
**Subject:** FW: taping commission meetings

FYI maybe we can cover the cost or are these costs the same as previously quoted.  
 Allan

From: krb55@hotmail.com  
 To: windowman50@hotmail.com  
 CC: tv2@charter.net  
 Subject: taping commission meetings  
 Date: Wed, 18 Mar 2009 08:27:56 -0400

Hello; This is Keith Brown. I am wondering if you (county commission) have considered having your meetings taped and then subsequently aired on Public Access Television here in Manistee County (Charter Cable customers)?

I am willing to tape and edit your meetings once a month for the sum of \$300.00 per meeting. If the meeting(s) run for more than 3 hours an additional charge of \$25.00 per 15 minute interval would be added to the charge. I am not sure how much you know about the difficulty involved in recording and airing such a long meeting, but it is significant. First the meeting is taped - 3 hours +, then it has to be burned to DVD, another 3+ hours.

I am not offering to put your meetings on line at this time, but if this is something you might also like, I will be willing to discuss that with you.

This fee I am requesting for taping/airing your meeting would be billed by me to the commission and you would then remit to me a check in my name for that amount.

Please give me a call at my home office, if you have any questions or concerns about this proposal. 231-723-3975

Sincerely



K. Brown

Windows Live™: Keep your life in sync. Check it out.

*#2,000 budgeted*  
*#1,800 cost for rest of the year.*  
*Can it be put on line.*

3/19/2009